

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,009	06/26/2001	Satchidanand Mishra	D/99021D	2624
27885	590 03/07/2006		EXAM	INER
	PE, FAGAN, MINNICH	NGUYEN, THUKHANH T		
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVE CLEVELAND, OH 44114		IHFLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/892,009	MISHRA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		nerits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11,12,15-20,22-24,26 and 27 is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11,12,15-20,22-24,26 and 27 is/are page 5 is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12)[_ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati crity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age
2) 🔲 Notic	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D:	ate	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/892,009 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-12, 15-20, 22-24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mammino et al (5,552,005) in view of Nelson (5,087,495).

Mammino et al disclose an apparatus for forming a flexible imaging sheet, comprising a lower support member (82) having a smooth upper flat surface to receive and support a seam region of a welded seamed flexible imaging member belt (10), a heating element (72) having a heatable flat surface which has a width of about 6mm (1/4 inches; col. 10, lines 62-65), means (76) for moving the heating strip toward and contact the flexible sheet (10; col. 11, lines 9-12), wherein the lower support member and the upper heating element are located to be in direct contact with the flexible member (col. 11, lines 9-14), a welding tool (142) and a heatable finishing roller (145) for welding and finishing the end regions (12, 14) of the flexible member (10); wherein the welding tool and the heatable finishing roller is movable transversely across the seam region.

Mammino et al fails to disclose a rotatable compression wheel.

Nelson teaches an apparatus for transferring xerographic images, comprising a pair of pressure elements (Figs. 4-8, 71, 72) with a lower support member having a smooth upper surface (72) and an upper heatable strip (35, 38) having a smooth surface (Fig. 2), a pair of

Application/Control Number: 09/892,009

Art Unit: 1722

rotatable compression wheel (71, 75) having a Teflon surface parallel to the surface of the lower support member (col. 5, lines 66-68), wherein the lower support member and the upper heatable strip are directly contact the flexible imaging transfer sheet (Figs. 4-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Mammino et al by replacing the movable welding means and heatable finishing roller with a heatable rotatable compression wheel as taught by Nelson, in order to provide one single element that can compress and weld the seam region of the flexible image at the same time.

In regard to claim 12, when the compression wheel is replaced as taught by Nelson above, it would be capable of compress the strip against the seam.

In regard to claims 15-16 and 26-27, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Mammino et al by providing an upper compression wheel as taught by Nelson as described above; wherein the compression wheel has a flat contact surface being coated with Teflon (Nelson, col. 5, lines 66-68) in order to prevent the roller from stick to the flexible member.

In regard to claim 17, wherein the heatable strip is a nickel foil (Mammino, col. 10, lines 62-64); wherein the strip is capable of raising the temperature of the seam area to a predetermined temperature to weld the seam region (col. 11, lines 4-9).

In regard to claims 18-20, Mammino fails to disclose that the heating means is made of an electrically resistive material or a composite device and comprises an imbedded resistance wires. Nelson discloses that the heatable member (35, 71) made of an adhesive tape, or aluminum, or silicone rubber with a resilient material formed at the contact area (col. 5, lines 39-

Application/Control Number: 09/892,009 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

43, line 65 to col. 6, lines 2), wherein the adhesives tape and the resilient material on the surface of the heating member are plastic and electrically resistive material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the heating strip of Mammino by providing a plurality of heater embedded in a plastic heating means as taught by Nelson, in order to selectively heat the seam region during the welding process.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-12, 15-20, and 22-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicant argued that Nelson fails to disclose that the compression wheel move transversely across the seam region. However, the movement of the compression wheel will depend on the orientation of the seam region that is positioned on the support member, and does not effect the operation of the compression wheel on the flexible material. Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device *is*, not what a device *does*." Hewlett- Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). (Emphasis in original)

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,009 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUANE SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER

TN

3-6-06